### Franchise Tax Board

# **SUMMARY ANALYSIS OF AMENDED BILL**

Author:	Horton	Analyst:	Deborah Barre	ett	Bill Number:	AB 1418	
Related Bills	s: See Prior Analysis	Telephone:	845-4301 Ai	nended Date:	1-23-2006		
		Attorney:	Patrick Kusiak	Sponsor:			
SUBJECT: FTB Compile Listing Of 250 Largest Tax Delinquencies & Make Public Record							
DEPARTMENT AMENDMENTS ACCEPTED. Amendments reflect suggestions of previous analysis of bill as amended 01-04-2006.							
A	AMENDMENTS IMPACT	REVENU	E. A new reven	ue estimate	is provided.		
	AMENDMENTS DID NOT RESOLVE THE DEPARTMENTS CONCERNS stated in the previous analysis of bill as introduced/amended						
F	FURTHER AMENDMENTS NECESSARY.						
[	DEPARTMENT POSITION CHANGED TO						
REMAINDER OF PREVIOUS ANALYSIS OF BILL AS AMENDED January 4, 2006 STILL X APPLIES.							
<u>x</u> 0	OTHER - See comment	s below.					
SUMMA	RY						
This bill	RY would require the Franc tax delinquencies.	hise Tax Bo	oard (FTB) to ma	ake publicly	available an	annual list of the	
This bill top 250 t	would require the Franc		pard (FTB) to m	ake publicly	available an	annual list of the	
This bill top 250 to SUMMA  The Januin the bill amendment of the sum ongoing	would require the Franc tax delinquencies.	ents resolve , 2006. A t I and is disc ated revenu nated \$5 m	ed the departme technical consid cussed below. ue gain in tax ye iillion. The revis	ent's impleme eration in the The departm ar 2006/200 ed revenue	entation cone January 23 ent has revis 7 of \$1.5 mil analysis is d	cerns identified 3, 2006, sed the revenue llion, to an iscussed below.	
This bill top 250 to SUMMA  The Januin the bill amendmimpact of ongoing	would require the Franctax delinquencies.  RY OF AMENDMENTS  uary 23, 2006, amendm I as amended January 4 nents has been identified if this bill from an anticip revenue gain of an estir ainder of the analysis of	ents resolve , 2006. A t I and is disc ated revenu nated \$5 m	ed the departme technical consid cussed below. ue gain in tax ye iillion. The revis	ent's impleme eration in the The departm ar 2006/200 ed revenue	entation cone January 23 ent has revis 7 of \$1.5 mil analysis is d	cerns identified 3, 2006, sed the revenue llion, to an iscussed below.	
This bill top 250 to SUMMA  The January in the bil amendment of the sum ongoing The rem	would require the Franctax delinquencies.  RY OF AMENDMENTS  uary 23, 2006, amendm I as amended January 4 nents has been identified if this bill from an anticip revenue gain of an estir ainder of the analysis of	ents resolve , 2006. A t I and is disc ated revenu nated \$5 m	ed the departme technical consid cussed below. ue gain in tax ye iillion. The revis	ent's impleme eration in the The departm ar 2006/200 ed revenue	entation cone January 23 ent has revis 7 of \$1.5 mil analysis is d	cerns identified 3, 2006, sed the revenue llion, to an iscussed below.	
This bill top 250 for SUMMA  The January in the bill amendment of the sum ongoing The rem  POSITION	would require the Franctax delinquencies.  RY OF AMENDMENTS  uary 23, 2006, amendm I as amended January 4 nents has been identified if this bill from an anticip revenue gain of an estir ainder of the analysis of	ents resolve , 2006. A t I and is disc ated revenu nated \$5 m	ed the departme technical consid cussed below. ue gain in tax ye iillion. The revis	ent's impleme eration in the The departm ar 2006/200 ed revenue	entation conce January 23 ent has revis 7 of \$1.5 mi analysis is d remains app	cerns identified 3, 2006, sed the revenue llion, to an iscussed below.	

Assembly Bill 1418 (Horton) Amended 01-23-2006 Page 2

#### **TECHNNICAL CONSIDERATION**

On Page 5, Line 23, the word delinquency is misspelled.

## **ECONOMIC IMPACT**

Based on department data, the revenue gain from this bill is as follows:

Estimated Revenue Impact of AB 1418						
Assumed Effective for						
Delinquent Accounts as of 12/31/06						
Assumed E	Assumed Enactment Date After 1/1/06					
(Millions)						
2005/06	2006/07	2007/08				
\$ 30	\$5	\$5				

This analysis does not consider the possible changes in employment, personal income, or gross state product that could result from this measure.

Based on departmental data, taxpayers affected by this proposal currently owe approximately \$350 million. Other departmental data suggests that about \$100 million of this amount will ultimately be discharged as uncollectible. A departmental study estimates that 4% of this money (\$4 million) would be collected in response to this proposal. In addition, this estimate assumes that, of the \$250 million that would eventually be collected, 10 percent (\$25 million) will be accelerated from a later year into 2007. The total revenue gain in the first year is \$29 million (\$4 million + \$25 million). The revenues collected as a result of this proposal are accrued back one year. In the second year of the program, the revenue gain decreases because the acceleration of payments by taxpayers new to the list is almost completely offset by the revenue that would have been collected in the second year had it not been accelerated to the first year because of this proposal. The revenue estimate has been rounded to the nearest five million dollars.

#### LEGISLATIVE STAFF CONTACT

Deborah Barrett Franchise Tax Board (916) 845-4301 Deborah.Barrett@ftb.ca.gov Brian Putler Franchise Tax Board (916) 845-6333 brian.putler@ftb.ca.gov